ASSIGNMENT #5: Working on your Quads

Not surprisingly, the goal of Assignment #5 is to emit quads.

There are many different notations and standards for quads, as discussed in the lecture notes and in class. We've used a certain format in the examples and that is certainly a workable choice. As with other aspects of this course, you have considerable latitude in your design choices. But keep in mind that the purpose of the quads **in this course** is as a stepping stone to the final assignment #6, in which we'll be "translating" them to assembly language, in a fairly unoptimal way. We'll also be eschewing any quad-based (architecture neutral) optimizations. Thus some of the very intelligent design choices in other IR schema, such as LLVM, may not be as useful for our limited purposes.

Likewise, there are many possible designs for how the quads are stored and represented internally in your compiler. You are free to use data structures of your choosing. You may wish to have your quads contain pointers to other data structures, such as symbol table entries. But regardless of the internal representation, we have the following **requirement** for this assignment: You must be able to print out the quads as plain text, in a format that is easily readable by humans (in particular, by me) to determine that they are "correct" for a given input. Further explanation of the information that needs to be exposed during printout is contained herein.

THINGS YOU DO AND DO NOT HAVE TO DO:

It's time for your favorite part of the assignment sheet. Things listed below with a plus sign are required. Minus signs are optional.

- + Expressions
 - + Operators
 - + arithmetic operators including pointer add/substract
 - + sizeof (you need that anyway for pointer arith)
 - + assignment
 - bitwise/shift operators optional but really not that hard
 - ternary and comma operators optional
 - no casts
 - no C99-C23 features such as compound literals or generic selections
 - + Support operations on ints and pointers
 - You don't have to worry about floating point, different size integer operations, etc.
 - + You do need to get pointer +/- integer and pointer pointer right.
 Without this arrays don't work.
 - structure member operators . and -> are optional Implicit in handling structures is assigning offsets to the members. You should assume the size and alignment constraints of your target architecture (perhaps encode them in a table) and then use the algorithm presented in class to lay out the structure.
 - H&S and the C standard also discuss this.
 - + You need to support making a function call, including passing arguments. It's OK to assume that the left operand to

a function call expression is a simple identifier (rather than an arbitrary expression of type pointer to fn) if that makes it easier for you.

+ Statements

+ compound statements

Just generate the quads for each statement in series

+ Expression statements

-An expression statement that has no "useful" effect or side-effect, e.g. a+1; should generate a warning but this is optional

- + If statements
- + Loop statements

-only one type of loop required, but once you've done one, the others are pretty easy anyway.

+ break/continue statements

You need to keep the break and continue basic block targets as part of your "cursor" or "state" while generating quads

+ return statements

OK to support just return int; or return; return pointer; is helpful

-no need to be able to return structs

- Goto and labeled statements optional

As discussed in class, a labeled statement creates a new basic block and a symbol table entry. The basic block number can be the primary attribute of the entry. Goto statements to a forward label install a symbol table entry with the basic block number undefined. When the matching label is found, find it in the symbol table and assign it a basic block number. If, when quads are being generated, a GOTO statement refers to a label with no defined basic block, that's an error.

- Switch statements optional

These are a big pain. Lecture notes discuss three ways of handling them. The repeated compare-and-branch approach is the easiest, should you decide to do this optional part.

- Initialized declarators

Still optional. Simple declarations, e.g.

int a=1;

are straightforward. Complex initializers with all the curly braces could be a big time sink. At this point, you'll need to consider storage class. auto storage class: initialized declaration results in a MOV type quad at point of declaration. extern/static: initializer is part of the assembly language output, do nothing at assign #5.

- Function formal parameters

You need to support function definitions. But in assignment #3 we

made prototypes optional, so you don't have a way of actually declaring the parameters. Therefore having a function take parameters is still optional. My examples below include functions with parameters. A quick workaround if you haven't implemented full prototypes is to accept a list of identifiers as the parameter list and just assume they are all ints. This is the ancient K&R syntax.

- Optimizations

It is not necessary to try to output the "best" series of quads. You might find that your algorithm generates extraneous steps, e.g. taking a value from one place, moving it to another, and moving it back to the first place. Or you might notice that you are performing computations in the target code which could be done at compile-time and replaced with a constant, e.g. a=3+4;

In "theory" the architecture-independent optimizer would find these and optimize them. Of course we won't be doing that.

We'll delve now into some more nuances by way of example source inputs alongside their potential AST and quad representations. As always, you are not required to parrot these.

EXAMPLE 1

```
Input:
```

//Output (contains AST followed by quads, one function at a time)

```
AST Dump for function f:
 LIST {
  ASSIGNMENT
   stab_var name=b def @<stdin>:4
   BINARY OP +
    stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:1
    CONSTANT: (type=int)3
 }
f:
.BB1.1
        b{param} = ADD
                              a{global},3
        RETURN
AST Dump for function g:
 LIST {
  ASSIGNMENT
   SELECT, member b2
    stab var name=x def @<stdin>:13
   stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:1
 }
q:
.BB2.1
        %T00001 = LEA
                        x{lvar}
        %T00002 = ADD %T00001,4
        STORE a{global},[%T00002]
```

Discussion: My quad gen output includes the assignment #4 AST dump output too. This is pretty handy for debugging.

By definition, a Basic Block is a potential branch target. It is started by a label, contains no additional labels within, and is terminated by a branch or exit. I am giving my basic blocks labels of the form

.BB.F.N

where F is a counter which increments with each function, and N is the basic block number within the function. I'm thinking ahead to Assignment #6, where those labels will become assembly language labels. In assembly, there is very limited support for "scopes" and no concept of "namespaces." Therefore, we need these labels to be non-conflicting with names of global variables/functions, and with labels in other functions. Since the period character is not valid as part of an identifier in C, prefixing all labels with period avoids conflict with variable/function names.

You have some flexibility in how to present the quad operands in your output. Internally, you have to hold on to the symbol table entries unless you want to start exposing the mechanics of local variable stack frame access now. What I've done above is give a clue as to the nature of the variable (e.g. {lvar} for local; {param} for a function formal parameter, or {global}). The main purpose is to make sure I'm referring to the correct variable, in case

local variables mask global variables of the same name. Since this IR remains internal to the compiler (other than for debugging output) I don't need to expose other information at this time. But further information is also reasonable for debugging:

```
T00001 = LEA x{lvar@stdin:13}
```

You may also note that I am giving temporaries names which look like registers, which is the notation we've used in class. I use a counter which resets with each function. There is an infinite supply of these "virtual registers." During final code generation, this will need to be reduced to the finite set of registers found on the target. This is called "Register Allocation" and will be covered in unit 8.

I have chosen to expose the access to structure members with the required memory address computations and load/stores. This is in concordance with the philosophy of avoiding complex addressing modes for quad operands, and of eliminating C language typing issues. Other IR schema, such as LLVM, do things very differently, by carrying through the idea of the struct type to the IR level. As mentioned above, assigning struct offsets at quad generation time requires us to know the final target architecture.

I have not exposed the mechanism for accessing local variables, parameters, global variables, or functions, because this is target-specific. When these quads are transformed into assembly (Assignment #6) some opcodes such as LEA may be translated very differently depending on which of these three classes of things we are taking the address of.

```
______
                   EXAMPLE 2
______
Input:
      int a[10];
      f()
       int x,*p;
             x=a[3];
             p = &a[5];
Output:
      AST Dump for function f:
       LIST {
       ASSIGNMENT
        stab_var name=x def @<stdin>:5
        DEREF
         BINARY OP +
          stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:1
          CONSTANT: (type=int)3
       ASSIGNMENT
        stab_var name=p def @<stdin>:5
```

```
ADDRESSOF

DEREF

BINARY OP +

stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:1

CONSTANT: (type=int)5
}

f:

.BB1.1

x{lvar} = LOAD [$a+12]

p{lvar} = MOV $a+20

RETURN
```

Discussion:

I have replaced the [] array subscripting operator in the AST with the equivalent pointer expression, as defined in the C standard. This was suggested back in assignment #3 and simplifies the number of cases for which the quad generator needs to be coded.

The expression \$a+12 is an example of an address constant, i.e. a global symbol plus or minus an integer offset. There is a further constant folding optimization in that the computation of the offset of the array element (3*4) has been replaced with the constant 12. You are not required to perform either of these optimizations. Without them, the multiply and add would appear explicitly:

```
f:
.BB1.1

%T00001 = MUL  3,4
%T00002 = LEA a{global}
%T00003 = ADD %T00001,%T00002
x{lvar} = LOAD  [%T00003]
%T00004 = MUL  5,4
%T00005 = LEA a{global}
p{lvar} = ADD %T00004,%T00005
RETURN
```

When I print out LOAD and STORE quads, I am enclosing the pointer operand in [brackets] denoting the indirection. This differs from how I depicted these quads in Unit #5 lecture notes.

```
else
                            a=3;
         }
Output:
         AST Dump for function z:
          LIST {
           IF:
            COMPARISON OP <
             stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:3
             stab_var name=b def @<stdin>:3
           THEN:
            ASSIGNMENT
             stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:3
             CONSTANT: (type=int)1
           IF:
            COMPARISON OP !=
             stab_var name=b def @<stdin>:3
             stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:3
           THEN:
            ASSIGNMENT
             stab_var name=b def @<stdin>:3
             CONSTANT: (type=int)3
           ELSE:
            ASSIGNMENT
             stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:3
             CONSTANT: (type=int)3
          }
         z:
         .BB1.1
                 CMP a{lvar},b{lvar}
                 BRGE .BB1.3,.BB1.2
         .BB1.2
                 a\{lvar\} = MOV 1
                 BR
                        .BB1.3
         .BB1.3
                 CMP b{lvar},a{lvar}
                 BREQ .BB1.5,.BB1.4
         .BB1.4
                 b{lvar} = MOV 3
                 BR .BB1.6
```

```
.BB1.5

a{lvar} = MOV 3

BR .BB1.6

.BB1.6
```

Discussion: I have chosen to represent control flow among basic blocks by explicitly listing the true and false branch targets for each branch. It is not required that you do it this way. You could also generate quads in which only one branch target is given, and it is implied that if the branch is false (not taken) that control falls-through to the next basic block. When using the 2-target approach, the order in which the basic blocks will eventually be output into the assembler is not pre-determined, but will be decided by walking the control flow graph. In the example above, since BB1.2 is the false target of BB1.1, BB1.2 would be output immediately after BB1.1 The unconditional branches to the next BB would also be dropped out, e.g. the BR .BB1.6

In both conditional expressions, we see inversion of the condition code and the targets, to avoid redundant jump-arounds, as discussed in class and lecture notes #5.

```
arounds, as discussed in class and lecture notes #5.
```

```
EXAMPLE 4
______
Input:
        int f()
        int a,b;
        a=q(b+3,5);
Output:
        AST Dump for function f:
         LIST {
          ASSIGNMENT
          stab_var name=a def @<stdin>:3
          FNCALL, 2 arguments
           stab_fn name=g def @<stdin>:4
          arg #1=
           BINARY OP +
            stab_var name=b def @<stdin>:3
            CONSTANT: (type=int)3
          arg #2=
           CONSTANT: (type=int)5
         }
        f:
        .BB1.1
               ARG
                   1,5
               T00001 = ADD b{lvar}, 3
               ARG 0,%T00001
```

Discussion: I have represented function calls with the CALL quad and introduced the ARG quad to take a value and pass it to the called function. Note that my ARG quad also contains the position of the argument. Further note that I generated the arguments "backwards" which on the X86-32 target will be advantageous as we'll see in unit 8. The assembly-language implementation of ARG could vary greatly. I've also included the total number of arguments as part of the CALL quad. Regrettably, I numbered the arguments starting at 1 in the AST dump, but 0 in the ARG quad:

Alternately, you could extend the notion of the quad so that one of the operands would be a list of arguments. The example would then look like:

Note that the CALL takes the address of the called function. Technically, the target address of the CALL could be any arbitrary expression which yields type "pointer to function". You are only required to handle simple function calls where the target is an identifier of function type. My notation \$g\$ refers to an absolute address.